

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

le

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/657,386	<del>- •</del>	09/08/2000	Toshiaki Yoshihara	1100.64726	3309	
24978	7590	06/28/2002				
GREER, È	BURNS &	CRAIN		EXAM	EXAMINER	
300 S WAC				AKKAPEDDI	, PRASAD R	
CHICAGO	, IL 6060	6	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2871		
				DATE MAILED: 06/28/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/657,386	YOSHIHARA ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Prasad R Akkapeddi	2871
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address
THE I - External form of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. t 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repreply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	•	
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	
3) <u></u> Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und on of Claims	owance except for formal matte ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application	ion.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho	Irawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers	d/or election requirement.	
	The specification is objected to by the Exam	ner	
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 September 2000</u>		jected to by the Evaminor
-,23	Applicant may not request that any objection to		
11) 🔲 7	The proposed drawing correction filed on		
, —	If approved, corrected drawings are required in		approved by the Examiner.
12) 🔲 T	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by the	• •	
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	119(a)-(d) or (f)
	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	griphicity under coloroto, g	(1)
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received	
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume		plication No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the properties application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the certified copies.	riority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eceived in this National Stage
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome		
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language	provisional application has bee	en received.
Attachment	.cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome (s)	said priority under 30 U.S.C. 99	3 120 anu/01 121.
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 1

Art Unit: 2871

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: On line 3, change 'therebetween' to 'there between'. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The limitation "wherein the spontaneous polarization of the liquid crystal is a magnitude of not more than ½ of a maximum quantity of charge that is injected to the liquid crystal display element corresponding to a pixel when the switching element is turned on" is not clear due to the term ½ of charge. The ½ is a relative term and as such should reflect relative to another element such as a conventional liquid crystal element. Spontaneous polarization can't have a magnitude of more than ½ the charge. For the ferroelectric liquid crystal display device, ½ the charge of a conventional device is required to exhibit switching and thus spontaneous polarization is exhibited by the element. The remaining claims are also rejected since they depend on the indefinite claim.

Art Unit: 2871

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Okada et al (Okada) (U.S.Patent No. 6,177,968).

As to claim 1: Okada discloses a liquid crystal display device having a pair of substrates (1) and (11), see Fig.1, with a liquid crystal (16) in between, with a spontaneous polarization (Col2, line 15) and a switching element TFT (227) that drives the liquid crystal corresponding to a pixel when turned on. In (Col 16, lines 15-23) Okada discloses for electric charge required for switching (inversion) or (spontaneous polarization) is half of that for the conventional switching.

The limitation "wherein the spontaneous polarization of the liquid crystal is a magnitude of not more than ½ of a maximum quantity of charge that is injected

Art Unit: 2871

to the liquid crystal display element corresponding to a pixel when the switching "" element is turned on" is interpreted as follows: The ½ is a relative term and as such should reflect relative to another element such as a conventional liquid crystal element. Spontaneous polarization is exhibited by the liquid crystal element when a charge is applied. Spontaneous polarization can't have a magnitude of more than ½ the charge. For the ferroelectric liquid crystals, ½ the charge is required to exhibit switching as compared to conventional liquid crystals.

Page 4

As to claim 3: Okada discloses color filters (13) of the three primary colors, red (13a), green (13b) and blue (13c).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 5-7,9-11, 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koden et al (Koden) (U.S.Patent No. 5,465,168) in view of Okada.
  - a. Although Okada discloses a liquid crystal display device having spontaneous polarization at ½ the charge of a conventional device, Okada does not disclose the relationship of dielectric constant to various liquid crystal materials having different spontaneous polarization values. However, in (Col 12, lines 28-63) Koden discloses in great detail the relationship between dielectric

Art Unit: 2871

constant and spontaneous polarization and the requirement of keeping the dielectric constant at a low value. Koden also discloses several compositions of liquid crystal material that are commercially available, with varying degrees of spontaneous polarization. Koden also discloses that several other compositions of liquid crystals may be mixed together (Col 11, line 35-37). So, it is fairly obvious to mix liquid crystal materials having the spontaneous polarization values (15 nC/cm2, 10nC/cm2 and 7nC/cm2) as claimed in claims 5, 9 and 13 with a dielectric constant of not less than 3, as claimed in claims 6, 10 and 14. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt different compositions of liquid crystal materials disclosed by Koden to the liquid crystal display disclosed by Okada, because these devices will enable large angle viewing by better matching the polarity of spontaneous polarization of the liquid crystals with that of an electric field.

7. Claims 4, 8, 12 and 16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishii et al (Ishii) (U.S.Patent No. 5,642,214) in view of Okada

Although Okada discloses color filters for the three primary colors for illuminating a liquid crystal display, Okada does not explicitly disclose the use of three separate light sources emitting light rays of three primary colors. It is also quite well known in the art that three primary colors for illumination can be obtained either from a single white light source having filters at the primary color wavelengths or three separate light sources emitting at three primary

Art Unit: 2871

wavelengths. However, Ishii explicitly discloses the use of three light sources for the three primary colors and switching them sequentially, (Col 3, line 67) and (Col 4, lines1 and 17-27). However, Ishii also discloses that such a use of separate light sources will result in an increase in size and less resolution for these devices. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt the separate light sources disclosed by Ishii to the display device disclosed by Okada because it is an alternate way of obtaining light sources having the three primary colors.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prasad R Akkapeddi whose telephone number is 703-305-4767. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM to 5:30PM M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William L Sikes can be reached on 703-308-4842. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-4767 for regular communications and 703-305-4767 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0530.

\*\*\*

June 24, 2002

Hilliam L. Sikes

Page 6

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800